

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8


9 Sabina Carol Francois,) CV 13-01964-PHX-PGR
10 Plaintiff,)
11 vs.) **ORDER**
12 Jeh Johnson, et al.,)
13 Defendants.)
14

15 Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Doc. 33) of the Court's
16 order denying Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's order granting
17 Defendants' motion to dismiss. Plaintiff's motion is not based on a showing of manifest error
18 or new facts or legal authority. LRCiv. 7.2(g). Instead, it repeats facts and arguments the
19 Court has already considered, twice. *See United States v. Rezzonico*, 32 F.Supp.2d 1112,
20 1116 (D.Ariz. 1998) (motions for reconsideration should not ask the court to "rethink what
21 the court has already thought through—rightly or wrongly.").

22 Accordingly,

23 IT IS ORDERED denying Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Doc. 33).

24 DATED this 20th day of May, 2014.
25

26 

27 Paul G. Rosenblatt
28 United States District Judge